arXiv:math/0511082v1 [math.PR] 3 Nov 2005

Asymptotic analysis for the ratio of the random sum of squares to the square of the random sum with applications to risk measures

Sophie A. Ladoucette [1] **Jef L. Teugels** [2]

27/10/2005

Abstract: Let $\{X_1, X_2, \ldots\}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed positive random variables of Pareto-type with index $\alpha > 0$ and let $\{N(t);\, t \geq 0\}$ be a counting process independent of the X_i's. For any fixed $t \geq 0$, define:

$$T_{N(t)} := \frac{X_1^2 + X_2^2 + \cdots + X_{N(t)}^2}{\left(X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_{N(t)}\right)^2}$$

if $N(t) \geq 1$ and $T_{N(t)} := 0$ otherwise.

We derive limiting distributions for $T_{N(t)}$ by assuming some convergence properties for the counting process. This is even achieved when both the numerator and the denominator defining $T_{N(t)}$ exhibit an erratic behavior ($\mathbb{E}X_1 = \infty$) or when only the numerator has an erratic behavior ($\mathbb{E}X_1 < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}X_1^2 = \infty$). Thanks to these results, we obtain asymptotic properties pertaining to both the sample coefficient of variation and the sample dispersion.

Keywords: Functions of regular variation; Laplace transform; Coefficient of variation; Dispersion; Counting process; Pareto-type distribution; Limit theorems

AMS 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 60F05; Secondary: 91B30

---

[1] Catholic University of Leuven, Department of Mathematics, W. de Croylaan 54, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium. Email: sophie.ladoucette@wis.kuleuven.be – Supported by the grant BDB-B/04/03 of the Catholic University of Leuven

[2] Catholic University of Leuven, Department of Mathematics, W. de Croylaan 54, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium and EURANDOM, Technological University of Eindhoven, P.O. Box 513, NL-5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands. Email: jef.teugels@wis.kuleuven.be

1 Introduction

Let $\{X_1, X_2, \ldots\}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) positive random variables with distribution function F and let $\{N(t);\, t \geq 0\}$ be a counting process independent of the X_i's. For any fixed $t \geq 0$, define the random variable $T_{N(t)}$ by:

$$T_{N(t)} := \frac{X_1^2 + X_2^2 + \cdots + X_{N(t)}^2}{\left(X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_{N(t)}\right)^2} \tag{1}$$

if $N(t) \geq 1$ and $T_{N(t)} := 0$ otherwise.

The limiting behavior of arbitrary moments of $T_{N(t)}$ is derived in Ladoucette [8] under the conditions that the distribution function F of X_1 is of *Pareto-type* with positive index α and that the counting process $\{N(t);\, t \geq 0\}$ is mixed Poisson. In this paper, we focus on *convergence in distribution* in deriving limits for the appropriately normalized random variable $T_{N(t)}$. We therefore generalize earlier results by Albrecher and Teugels [1] where the counting process is non-random (referred below as the deterministic case). Here, we still assume that F is of Pareto-type with positive index α except for one result where the assumption that the fourth moment of X_1 exists is made. Our results are derived under the extra condition that the counting process $\{N(t);\, t \geq 0\}$ either *$\mathcal{D}$-averages in time* or *p-averages in time* according to the range of α. The appropriate definitions along with some properties are given in Section 2.

The results of the paper are mostly obtained by using the theory of functions of *regular variation* (e.g. Bingham et al. [4]). Recall that a Pareto-type distribution function F with index $\alpha > 0$ is defined by:

$$1 - F(x) \sim x^{-\alpha}\ell(x) \quad \text{as} \quad x \to \infty \tag{2}$$

for a slowly varying function ℓ, and therefore has a regularly varying tail $1 - F$ with index $-\alpha < 0$.

Let μ_β denote the moment of order $\beta > 0$ of X_1, i.e.:

$$\mu_\beta := \mathbb{E}X_1^\beta = \beta \int_0^\infty x^{\beta-1}\left(1 - F(x)\right) dx \leq \infty.$$

Clearly, both the numerator and the denominator defining $T_{N(t)}$ exhibit an erratic behavior if $\mu_1 = \infty$, whereas this is the case only for the numerator if $\mu_1 < \infty$ and $\mu_2 = \infty$. When X_1 (or equivalently F) is of Pareto-type with index $\alpha > 0$, it turns out that μ_β is finite if $\beta < \alpha$ but infinite whenever $\beta > \alpha$. In particular, $\mu_1 < \infty$ if $\alpha > 1$ while $\mu_2 < \infty$ as soon as $\alpha > 2$. Since the asymptotic behavior of $T_{N(t)}$ is influenced by the finiteness of μ_1 and/or μ_2, different limiting distributions consequently show up according to the range of α. This is expressed in our main results given in Section 3. In Section 4, we use our results to study the asymptotic behavior of the *sample coefficient of variation* and the *sample dispersion*, two risk measures popular in applications.

The *coefficient of variation* of a positive random variable X with distribution function F is defined by:

$$\mathrm{CoVar}(X) := \frac{\sqrt{\mathbb{V}X}}{\mathbb{E}X}$$

where $\mathbb{V}X$ denotes the variance of X. This risk measure is frequently used in practice and is of particular interest to actuaries since it measures the risk associated with X. From a random sample $X_1, \ldots, X_{N(t)}$ from X of random size $N(t)$ from a nonnegative integer-valued distribution, the coefficient of variation $\mathrm{CoVar}(X)$ is naturally estimated by the sample coefficient of variation defined by:

$$\widehat{\mathrm{CoVar}(X)} := \frac{S}{\overline{X}} \tag{3}$$

where $\overline{X} := \frac{1}{N(t)} \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i$ is the sample mean and $S^2 := \frac{1}{N(t)} \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} \left(X_i - \overline{X}\right)^2$ is the sample variance.

For theoretical and practical results pertaining to the coefficient of variation within the context of (re)insurance, see Mack [9]. The properties of the sample coefficient of variation are usually studied under the tacite assumption of the finiteness of sufficiently many moments of X. However, the existence of moments of X is not always guaranteed in practical applications. It is therefore useful to investigate the limiting behavior of $\widehat{\mathrm{CoVar}(X)}$ also in these cases. It turns out that this can be achieved by using results on $T_{N(t)}$. Indeed, the quantity $T_{N(t)}$ appears as a basic ingredient in the study of the sample coefficient of variation since the following holds:

$$\widehat{\mathrm{CoVar}(X)} = \sqrt{N(t)\,T_{N(t)} - 1}. \tag{4}$$

In Subsection 4.1, we take advantage from this link to derive asymptotic properties of the sample coefficient of variation under the same assumptions on X and on the counting process $\{N(t);\, t \geq 0\}$ as in Section 3. Note that this is done even when the first moment and/or the second moment of X do not exist.

Another risk measure of the positive random variable X that is very popular is the *dispersion* defined by:

$$\mathrm{D}(X) := \frac{\mathbb{V}X}{\mathbb{E}X}.$$

In a (re)insurance context, the value of the dispersion is used to compare the volatility of a portfolio with respect to the Poisson case for which the dispersion equals 1. Similarly to the coefficient of variation, the dispersion $\mathrm{D}(X)$ is typically estimated by the sample dispersion defined by:

$$\widehat{\mathrm{D}(X)} := \frac{S^2}{\overline{X}}. \tag{5}$$

Defining the random variable $C_{N(t)}$ for any fixed $t \geq 0$ by:

$$C_{N(t)} := \frac{X_1^2 + X_2^2 + \cdots + X_{N(t)}^2}{X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_{N(t)}} \tag{6}$$

if $N(t) \geq 1$ and $C_{N(t)} := 0$ otherwise, leads to the following link with the sample dispersion:

$$\widehat{\mathrm{D}(X)} = C_{N(t)} - \overline{X}. \tag{7}$$

It turns out that results from Section 3 can be used to derive asymptotic properties of the sample dispersion from those of $C_{N(t)}$. The results are given in Subsection 4.2 by using the same conditions on X and on the counting process $\{N(t);\, t \geq 0\}$ as in Section 3. As for the sample coefficient of variation, cases where the first moments of X do not exist are also considered.

Finally, Section 5 contains a few conclusions.

2 Preliminaries

Though standard notations, we mention that $\xrightarrow{a.s.}$, $\xrightarrow{p}$, $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}$ stand for convergence almost surely, in probability and in distribution, respectively. Equality in distribution is denoted by $\overset{\mathcal{D}}{=}$. For a measurable function f, we write $f(x) = o(1)$ if $f(x) \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$. Finally, $\Gamma(.)$ denotes the gamma function.

Let $\{N(t);\, t \geq 0\}$ be a counting process. For any fixed $t \geq 0$, the probability generating function $Q_t(.)$ of the random variable $N(t)$ is defined by:

$$Q_t(z) := \mathbb{E}\{z^{N(t)}\} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}[N(t) = n]\, z^n, \quad |z| \leq 1.$$

Most of our results are obtained by assuming that the counting process satisfies the following condition:

$$\frac{N(t)}{t} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \Lambda \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

where the limiting random variable Λ is such that $\mathbb{P}[\Lambda > 0] = 1$. The counting process is then said to $\mathcal{D}$-average in time to the random variable Λ. In a single case, we however need to require the stronger condition that the above convergence holds in probability rather than in distribution, i.e.:

$$\frac{N(t)}{t} \xrightarrow{p} \Lambda \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

in which case the counting process is said to p-average in time to the positive random variable Λ.

Whether the counting process $\mathcal{D}$-averages in time or p-averages in time, there results that $N(t) \xrightarrow{p} \infty$ as $t \to \infty$. Note that the convergence even holds almost surely by monotonicity.

The convergence in distribution is equivalent to the pointwise convergence of the corresponding Laplace transforms. One therefore has for a counting process which $\mathcal{D}$-averages in time or p-averages in time to the random variable Λ that:

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-\theta \frac{N(t)}{t}}\right\} = \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-\theta\Lambda}\right\}, \quad \theta \geq 0. \tag{8}$$

For every $\theta \geq 0$, define $u_\theta(x) := e^{-\theta x}$ for $x \geq 0$. The family of functions $\{u_\theta\}_{\theta\geq 0}$ being equicontinuous, it turns out that the convergence in (8) holds uniformly in every finite θ-interval (e.g. Corollary page 252 of Feller [6]).

Very popular counting processes $\mathcal{D}$-average in time. We quote a number of examples. The deterministic case provides a first example where Λ is degenerate at the point 1. Any mixed Poisson process obviously $\mathcal{D}$-averages in time to its mixing random variable. We refer to the monograph by Grandell [7] for a very thorough treatment of mixed Poisson processes and their properties. Also, any infinitely divisible distribution on the nonnegative integers generates a process which $\mathcal{D}$-averages in time as long as the generic step-size G is a discrete random variable on the positive integers with $\mathbb{E}G < \infty$. In such a case, the limiting random variable Λ is degenerate at the point $\lambda\mathbb{E}G$, where $\lambda > 0$ is the intensity of the homogeneous Poisson process. Finally, any renewal process generated by a nonnegative distribution with mean $\mu \in (0, \infty)$ $\mathcal{D}$-averages in time with Λ degenerate at the point $1/\mu$.

Let us turn to the process $\{X_i;\, i \geq 1\}$ of i.i.d. positive random variables with distribution function F. As specified above, most of our results are derived under the condition that the tail of F satisfies (2), i.e. that $1 - F$ is regularly varying with negative index $-\alpha$. Recall that a measurable and ultimately positive function f on $\mathbb{R}_+$ is regularly varying with index $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ (written $f \in \mathrm{RV}_\gamma$) if for all $x > 0$, $\lim_{t\to\infty} f(tx)/f(t) = x^\gamma$. When $\gamma = 0$, f is said to be slowly varying. For a textbook treatment on the theory of functions of regular variation, we refer to Bingham et al. [4].

It is well-known that the tail condition (2) appears as the essential condition in the maximal domain of attraction problem of extreme value theory. For a recent treatment, see Beirlant et al. [2]. When $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, the condition is also necessary and sufficient for F to belong to the additive domain of attraction of a non-normal stable law with exponent α (e.g. Theorem 8.3.1 of Bingham et al. [4]).

Finally, we give a general result that proves to be useful.

Lemma 1. *Let $\{Y_n;\, n \geq 1\}$ be a general sequence of random variables and $\{M(t);\, t \geq 0\}$ be a process of nonnegative integer-valued random variables. Assume that $\{Y_n;\, n \geq 1\}$ and $\{M(t);\, t \geq 0\}$ are independent and that $M(t) \xrightarrow{p} \infty$ as $t \to \infty$. If $Y_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} Y$ as $n \to \infty$ then $Y_{M(t)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} Y$ as $t \to \infty$.*

Proof. To prove the result of the lemma, we show that $\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathbb{P}[Y_{M(t)} \leq y] = F_Y(y)$ at all continuity points y of the distribution function F_Y of Y.

Let y be a point of continuity of F_Y. For every $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, there exists $n_0 = n_0(\epsilon, y) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $n > n_0$ then $|\mathbb{P}[Y_n \leq y] - F_Y(y)| \leq \epsilon$ since $Y_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} Y$ as $n \to \infty$. Furthermore, the independence of the two processes leads to $\mathbb{P}[Y_{M(t)} \leq y] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}[Y_n \leq y]\, \mathbb{P}[M(t) = n]$ so that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathbb{P}[Y_{M(t)} \leq y] - F_Y(y)\right| &= \left|\left(\sum_{n=0}^{n_0} + \sum_{n=n_0+1}^{\infty}\right) \{\mathbb{P}[Y_n \leq y] - F_Y(y)\}\, \mathbb{P}[M(t) = n]\right| \\
&\leq \sum_{n=0}^{n_0} |\mathbb{P}[Y_n \leq y] - F_Y(y)|\, \mathbb{P}[M(t) = n] + \sum_{n=n_0+1}^{\infty} |\mathbb{P}[Y_n \leq y] - F_Y(y)|\, \mathbb{P}[M(t) = n] \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}[M(t) \leq n_0] + \epsilon\, \mathbb{P}[M(t) > n_0].
\end{aligned}$$

Since $M(t) \xrightarrow{p} \infty$ as $t \to \infty$, it consequently follows that $\limsup_{t\to\infty} \left|\mathbb{P}[Y_{M(t)} \leq y] - F_Y(y)\right| \leq \epsilon$ which proves the claim upon letting $\epsilon \downarrow 0$. $\square$

3 Convergence in Distribution for $T_{N(t)}$

We provide limiting distributions for the properly normalized random variable $T_{N(t)}$ defined in (1) under the condition that the distribution function F of X_1 is of Pareto-type with index $\alpha > 0$. The last result is even established by assuming that $\mu_4 < \infty$ and consequently holds in the cases $\alpha = 4$ with $\mu_4 < \infty$ and $\alpha > 4$. Throughout the section, the counting process $\{N(t);\, t \geq 0\}$ is assumed to $\mathcal{D}$-average in time except for one result where we need to make the stronger assumption that it p-averages in time.

Case 1: $\alpha \in (0,1)$**.** We start with the case $\alpha \in (0,1)$ in the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Assume that X_1 is of Pareto-type with index $\alpha \in (0,1)$. Let $\{N(t);\, t \geq 0\}$ $\mathcal{D}$-average in time to the random variable Λ. Then:*

$$T_{N(t)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{U_\alpha}{V_\alpha^2} \quad as \quad t \to \infty$$

where the random vector $(U_\alpha, V_\alpha)'$ has the Laplace transform:

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-rU_\alpha - sV_\alpha}\right\} = \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-\delta_\alpha(r,s)\,\Lambda}\right\}, \quad r > 0,\, s \geq 0 \tag{9}$$

with $\delta_\alpha(r,s) := 2\, e^{\frac{s^2}{4r}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\left(u + \frac{s}{2\sqrt{r}}\right)^2} \left(u + \frac{s}{2\sqrt{r}}\right) \left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{r}}\right)^{-\alpha} du.$

In particular, the random variable U_α has the Laplace transform:

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-rU_\alpha}\right\} = \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-r^{\alpha/2}\Gamma(1-\alpha/2)\,\Lambda}\right\}, \quad r \geq 0 \tag{10}$$

and the random variable V_α has the Laplace transform:

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-sV_\alpha}\right\} = \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-s^\alpha\Gamma(1-\alpha)\,\Lambda}\right\}, \quad s \geq 0. \tag{11}$$

Proof. Let $1 - F(x) \underset{x\uparrow\infty}{\sim} x^{-\alpha}\ell(x)$ for some $\ell \in \mathrm{RV}_0$ and $\alpha \in (0,1)$. Define a sequence $(a_t)_{t>0}$ by $1 - F(a_t) \underset{t\uparrow\infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{t}$, i.e. $\lim_{t\to\infty} t\, a_t^{-\alpha}\ell(a_t) = 1$. From the independence of the X_i's, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-r\frac{1}{a_t^2}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2 - s\frac{1}{a_t}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i}\right\} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}[N(t) = n]\, \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-r\frac{1}{a_t^2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2 - s\frac{1}{a_t}\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i}\right\} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}[N(t) = n] \left(\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-r\frac{1}{a_t^2}X_1^2 - s\frac{1}{a_t}X_1}\right\}\right)^n \\
&= Q_t\left(e^{-\frac{\delta_{\alpha,t}(r,s)}{t}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

with $\delta_{\alpha,t}(r,s) := -t\,\log\int_0^\infty e^{-r\left(\frac{x}{a_t}\right)^2-s\frac{x}{a_t}}\,dF(x) \in [0,\infty)$.

Assume $r>0$ and $s\geq 0$. By virtue of Lebesgue's theorem on dominated convergence, it is clear that $\int_0^\infty e^{-r\left(\frac{x}{a_t}\right)^2-s\frac{x}{a_t}}\,dF(x) \to 1$ as $t\to\infty$. As a consequence, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{\alpha,t}(r,s) &\underset{t\uparrow\infty}{\sim} -t\left(\int_0^\infty e^{-r\left(\frac{x}{a_t}\right)^2-s\frac{x}{a_t}}\,dF(x)-1\right)\\
&= t\int_0^\infty \left(1-e^{-r\left(\frac{x}{a_t}\right)^2-s\frac{x}{a_t}}\right)dF(x)\\
&= t\int_0^\infty (1-F(x))\,e^{-r\left(\frac{x}{a_t}\right)^2-s\frac{x}{a_t}}\left(\frac{2r}{a_t^2}\,x+\frac{s}{a_t}\right)dx\\
&= 2\,e^{\frac{s^2}{4r}}\int_{\frac{s}{2\sqrt{r}}}^\infty y\,e^{-y^2}\,t\left\{1-F\left(a_t\left(\frac{y}{\sqrt{r}}-\frac{s}{2r}\right)\right)\right\}dy.
\end{aligned}$$

We now apply Potter's theorem (e.g. Theorem 1.5.6 of Bingham et al. [4]) together with Lebesgue's theorem on dominated convergence. Then the change of variables $y=u+\frac{s}{2\sqrt{r}}$ leads to:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{t\to\infty}\delta_{\alpha,t}(r,s) &= 2\,e^{\frac{s^2}{4r}}\int_{\frac{s}{2\sqrt{r}}}^\infty y\,e^{-y^2}\left(\frac{y}{\sqrt{r}}-\frac{s}{2r}\right)^{-\alpha}dy\\
&= 2\,e^{\frac{s^2}{4r}}\int_0^\infty e^{-\left(u+\frac{s}{2\sqrt{r}}\right)^2}\left(u+\frac{s}{2\sqrt{r}}\right)\left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{r}}\right)^{-\alpha}du\\
&=: \delta_\alpha(r,s).
\end{aligned}$$

Define $\varphi_t(\theta) := Q_t\left(e^{-\frac{\theta}{t}}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-\theta\frac{N(t)}{t}}\right\}$ for $\theta\geq 0$. From (8), we know that $\varphi_t(\theta)\to\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-\theta\Lambda}\right\} =: \varphi(\theta)$ as $t\to\infty$. Moreover, we have just proved that $\delta_{\alpha,t}(r,s)\to\delta_\alpha(r,s)$ as $t\to\infty$, and it is clear that $\delta_\alpha(r,s)\in(0,\infty)$ for any $\alpha\in(0,1)$. Write the following triangular inequality:

$$|\varphi_t(\delta_{\alpha,t}(r,s))-\varphi(\delta_\alpha(r,s))| \leq |\varphi_t(\delta_{\alpha,t}(r,s))-\varphi(\delta_{\alpha,t}(r,s))| + |\varphi(\delta_{\alpha,t}(r,s))-\varphi(\delta_\alpha(r,s))|.$$

On the one hand, $\lim_{t\to\infty}|\varphi(\delta_{\alpha,t}(r,s))-\varphi(\delta_\alpha(r,s))| = 0$ by continuity of φ. On the other hand, for t large enough, there exist reals a,b with $0\leq a<\delta_\alpha(r,s)<b$ such that $\delta_{\alpha,t}(r,s)\in[a,b]$. Then, $\lim_{t\to\infty}|\varphi_t(\delta_{\alpha,t}(r,s))-\varphi(\delta_{\alpha,t}(r,s))| = 0$ if and only if $\lim_{t\to\infty}\sup_{\theta\in[a,b]}|\varphi_t(\theta)-\varphi(\theta)| = 0$. The last equivalence is true since (8) holds uniformly in every finite θ-interval. Hence, we obtain $\varphi_t(\delta_{\alpha,t}(r,s))\to\varphi(\delta_\alpha(r,s))$ as $t\to\infty$, that is:

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-r\frac{1}{a_t^2}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)}X_i^2-s\frac{1}{a_t}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)}X_i}\right\} = \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-\delta_\alpha(r,s)\,\Lambda}\right\},\quad r>0,\ s\geq 0$$

or equivalently:

$$\left(\frac{1}{a_t^2}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)}X_i^2,\ \frac{1}{a_t}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)}X_i\right)' \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (U_\alpha,V_\alpha)' \quad\text{as}\quad t\to\infty$$

where the random vector $(U_\alpha,V_\alpha)'$ has the Laplace transform (9).

Using the continuous mapping theorem (e.g. Corollary 1 page 31 of Billingsley [3]), we thus deduce:

$$T_{N(t)} = \frac{\frac{1}{a_t^2}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)}X_i^2}{\left(\frac{1}{a_t}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)}X_i\right)^2} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{U_\alpha}{V_\alpha^2}\quad\text{as}\quad t\to\infty.$$

The marginal distribution of U_α, which is the limiting distribution of $\frac{1}{a_t^2}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)}X_i^2$, is determined by its Laplace transform:

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-rU_\alpha}\right\} = \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-r^{\alpha/2}\Gamma(1-\alpha/2)\,\Lambda}\right\},\quad r\geq 0$$

which is obtained by setting $s = 0$ in (9).

To get the Laplace transform of the marginal distribution of V_α, which is the limiting distribution of $\frac{1}{a_t}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i$, just remake the argument in the proof above with $r = 0$. Instead of $\delta_{\alpha,t}(r,s)$, work with $\delta_{\alpha,t}(s) := -t \log \int_0^\infty e^{-s\frac{x}{a_t}}\, dF(x) \in [0,\infty)$. Assuming $s > 0$, we obtain:

$$\delta_{\alpha,t}(s) \underset{t\uparrow\infty}{\sim} \frac{ts}{a_t}\int_0^\infty (1-F(x))\, e^{-s\frac{x}{a_t}}\, dx = \int_0^\infty e^{-y}\, t\left\{1 - F\left(a_t \frac{y}{s}\right)\right\} dy.$$

By Potter's theorem and Lebesgue's theorem on dominated convergence, we then get:

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \delta_{\alpha,t}(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-y}\left(\frac{y}{s}\right)^{-\alpha} dy = s^\alpha \Gamma(1-\alpha)$$

leading to:

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-sV_\alpha}\right\} = \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-s^\alpha \Gamma(1-\alpha)\,\Lambda}\right\}, \quad s \geq 0.$$

This concludes the proof. □

Note that in the special case where Λ is degenerate at the point 1, the random variables U_α and V_α are stable with respective exponent $\alpha/2$ and α. In particular, this holds in discrete time i.e. when the counting process is non-random.

Case 2: $\alpha = 1$, $\mu_1 = \infty$. Our next result deals with the case $\alpha = 1$ and $\mu_1 = \infty$.

Theorem 2. *Assume that X_1 is of Pareto-type with index $\alpha = 1$ and that $\mu_1 = \infty$. Let $\{N(t);\, t \geq 0\}$ $\mathcal{D}$-average in time to the random variable Λ. Then:*

$$\left(\frac{a_t'}{a_t}\right)^2 T_{N(t)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{U_1}{\Lambda^2} \quad as \quad t \to \infty$$

where the random vector $(U_1, \Lambda)'$ has the Laplace transform:

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-rU_1 - s\Lambda}\right\} = \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-\sqrt{r\pi}\,\Lambda}\right\}, \quad r > 0,\, s \geq 0 \tag{12}$$

and where $(a_t)_{t>0}$ is defined by $\lim_{t\to\infty} t\, a_t^{-1}\ell(a_t) = 1$ and $(a_t')_{t>0}$ is defined by $\lim_{t\to\infty} t\, a_t'^{-1}\tilde{\ell}(a_t') = 1$ with $\tilde{\ell}(x) = \int_0^x \frac{\ell(u)}{u}\, du \in \mathrm{RV}_0$.

In particular, the random variable U_1 has the Laplace transform (10) with $\alpha = 1$.

Proof. Let $1 - F(x) \underset{x\uparrow\infty}{\sim} x^{-1}\ell(x)$ for some $\ell \in \mathrm{RV}_0$ and $\mu_1 = \infty$. Define a sequence $(a_t)_{t>0}$ by $1 - F(a_t) \underset{t\uparrow\infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{t}$, i.e. $\lim_{t\to\infty} t\, a_t^{-1}\ell(a_t) = 1$, and a sequence $(a_t')_{t>0}$ by $\lim_{t\to\infty} t\, a_t'^{-1}\tilde{\ell}(a_t') = 1$ with $\tilde{\ell}(x) = \int_0^x \frac{\ell(u)}{u}\, du \in \mathrm{RV}_0$. From the independence of the X_i's, we get:

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-r\frac{1}{a_t^2}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2 - s\frac{1}{a_t'}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i}\right\} = Q_t\left(e^{-\frac{\delta_t(r,s)}{t}}\right)$$

with $\delta_t(r,s) := -t \log \int_0^\infty e^{-r\left(\frac{x}{a_t}\right)^2 - s\frac{x}{a_t'}}\, dF(x) \in [0,\infty)$.

Assume $r > 0$ and $s \geq 0$. By virtue of Lebesgue's theorem on dominated convergence, it is clear that $\int_0^\infty e^{-r\left(\frac{x}{a_t}\right)^2 - s\frac{x}{a_t'}}\, dF(x) \to 1$ as $t \to \infty$. As a consequence, we obtain:

$$\delta_t(r,s) \underset{t\uparrow\infty}{\sim} t\int_0^\infty (1-F(x))\, e^{-r\left(\frac{x}{a_t}\right)^2 - s\frac{x}{a_t'}} \left(\frac{2r}{a_t^2}x + \frac{s}{a_t'}\right) dx$$

$$= \quad 2\, e^{\frac{s^2}{4r}\left(\frac{a_t}{a'_t}\right)^2} \int_{\frac{s}{2\sqrt{r}}\frac{a_t}{a'_t}}^{\infty} y\, e^{-y^2}\, t \left\{1 - F\left(a_t\left(\frac{y}{\sqrt{r}} - \frac{s}{2r}\frac{a_t}{a'_t}\right)\right)\right\} dy.$$

The use of the de Bruyn conjugate together with $\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{\ell(x)}{\tilde{\ell}(x)} = 0$ leads to $\frac{a_t}{a'_t} \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$.

The uniform convergence theorem for regularly varying functions (e.g. Theorem 1.5.2 of Bingham et al. [4]) together with Potter's theorem and Lebesgue's theorem on dominated convergence thus gives:

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \delta_t(r,s) = 2\sqrt{r} \int_0^\infty e^{-y^2}\, dy = \sqrt{r\pi}.$$

A similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 1 applied to the convergence of $Q_t\left(e^{-\frac{\delta_t(r,s)}{t}}\right)$ yields:

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-r\frac{1}{a_t^2}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2 - s\frac{1}{a'_t}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i}\right\} = \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-\sqrt{r\pi}\,\Lambda}\right\}, \quad r > 0,\ s \geq 0 \tag{13}$$

or equivalently:

$$\left(\frac{1}{a_t^2}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2,\ \frac{1}{a'_t}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i\right)' \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (Y,Z)' \quad \text{as} \quad t\to\infty$$

where the Laplace transform of the random vector $(Y,Z)'$ is the term on the right-hand side of (13).

The marginal distribution of Y, which is the limiting distribution of $\frac{1}{a_t^2}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2$, is determined by its Laplace transform:

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-rY}\right\} = \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-\sqrt{r\pi}\,\Lambda}\right\}, \quad r \geq 0$$

which is obtained by setting $s = 0$ in (13). Hence, it turns out that $Y \overset{\mathcal{D}}{=} U_1$ where U_1 has the Laplace transform (10) with $\alpha = 1$.

To get the Laplace transform of the marginal distribution of Z, which is the limiting distribution of $\frac{1}{a'_t}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i$, just remake the argument in the proof above with $r = 0$. Instead of $\delta_t(r,s)$, work with $\delta_t(s) := -t \log \int_0^\infty e^{-s\frac{x}{a'_t}}\, dF(x) \in [0,\infty)$. Assuming $s > 0$, we obtain:

$$\delta_t(s) \underset{t\uparrow\infty}{\sim} \frac{ts}{a'_t}\int_0^\infty (1-F(x))\, e^{-s\frac{x}{a'_t}}\, dx = \frac{ts}{a'_t}\int_0^\infty e^{-y}\int_0^{\frac{a'_t y}{s}} (1-F(x))\, dx\, dy.$$

Since $\tilde{\ell}(x) = \int_0^x \frac{\ell(u)}{u}\, du \sim \int_0^x (1-F(u))\, du$ as $x \to \infty$ and using the uniform convergence theorem for slowly varying functions, we get:

$$\int_0^{\frac{a'_t y}{s}} (1-F(x))\, dx \sim \tilde{\ell}\left(\frac{a'_t y}{s}\right) \sim \tilde{\ell}\left(a'_t\right) \sim \frac{a'_t}{t} \quad \text{as} \quad t\to\infty.$$

By Lebesgue's theorem on dominated convergence, we thus get $\lim_{t\to\infty}\delta_t(s) = s$. This leads to:

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-sZ}\right\} = \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-s\Lambda}\right\}, \quad s \geq 0$$

and consequently $Z \overset{\mathcal{D}}{=} \Lambda$.

Therefore, we have:

$$\left(\frac{1}{a_t^2}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2,\ \frac{1}{a'_t}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i\right)' \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (U_1,\Lambda)' \quad \text{as} \quad t\to\infty$$

where the joint distribution function of $(U_1,\Lambda)'$ is given through (12).

Using the continuous mapping theorem, we thus deduce:

$$\left(\frac{a_t'}{a_t}\right)^2 T_{N(t)} = \frac{\frac{1}{a_t^2}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2}{\left(\frac{1}{a_t'}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i\right)^2} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{U_1}{\Lambda^2} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

and the proof is complete. □

Case 3: $\alpha \in (1,2)$ or $\alpha = 1$, $\mu_1 < \infty$. In the following theorem, the case $\alpha \in (1,2)$ (including $\alpha = 1$ if $\mu_1 < \infty$) is treated. Two different results are proved according to the choice for the normalization of $T_{N(t)}$.

Theorem 3. *Assume that X_1 is of Pareto-type with index $\alpha \in (1,2)$ (including $\alpha = 1$ if $\mu_1 < \infty$). Let $\{N(t);\, t \geq 0\}$ $\mathcal{D}$-average in time to the random variable Λ.*

(a) *Then:*

$$\left(\frac{N(t)}{a_t}\right)^2 T_{N(t)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\mu_1^2} U_\alpha \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

where the random variable U_α has the Laplace transform (10).

(b) *Then:*

$$\left(\frac{t}{a_t}\right)^2 T_{N(t)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\mu_1^2}\frac{U_\alpha}{\Lambda^2} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

where the random vector $(U_\alpha, \mu_1\Lambda)'$ has the Laplace transform:

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-rU_\alpha - s\mu_1\Lambda}\right\} = \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-r^{\alpha/2}\Gamma(1-\alpha/2)\,\Lambda}\right\}, \quad r > 0,\, s \geq 0. \tag{14}$$

In particular, the random variable U_α has the Laplace transform (10).

In (a) and (b), the sequence $(a_t)_{t>0}$ is defined by $\lim_{t\to\infty} t\, a_t^{-\alpha}\ell(a_t) = 1$.

Proof. Let $1 - F(x) \underset{x\uparrow\infty}{\sim} x^{-\alpha}\ell(x)$ for some $\ell \in \mathrm{RV}_0$ and $\alpha \in (1,2)$ or $\alpha = 1$ if $\mu_1 < \infty$. Define a sequence $(a_t)_{t>0}$ by $1 - F(a_t) \underset{t\uparrow\infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{t}$, i.e. $\lim_{t\to\infty} t\, a_t^{-\alpha}\ell(a_t) = 1$.

(a) Since $\mu_1 < \infty$ and $N(t) \xrightarrow{a.s.} \infty$ as $t \to \infty$, it follows by Lemma 1 that $\frac{1}{N(t)}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i \xrightarrow{p} \mu_1$ as $t \to \infty$.

Similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1 lead to $\frac{1}{a_t^2}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} U_\alpha$, where the random variable U_α has the Laplace transform (10).

Hence, Slutsky's theorem (e.g. Corollary page 97 of Chung [5]) and the continuous mapping theorem yield:

$$\left(\frac{N(t)}{a_t}\right)^2 T_{N(t)} = \frac{\frac{1}{a_t^2}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2}{\left(\frac{1}{N(t)}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i\right)^2} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\mu_1^2} U_\alpha \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty.$$

(b) From the independence of the X_i's, we get:

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-r\frac{1}{a_t^2}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2 - s\frac{1}{t}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i}\right\} = Q_t\left(e^{-\frac{\delta_{\alpha,t}(r,s)}{t}}\right)$$

with $\delta_{\alpha,t}(r,s) := -t\,\log\int_0^\infty e^{-r\left(\frac{x}{a_t}\right)^2 - s\frac{x}{t}}\, dF(x) \in [0,\infty)$.

Assume $r > 0$ and $s \geq 0$. By virtue of Lebesgue's theorem on dominated convergence, it is clear that $\int_0^\infty e^{-r\left(\frac{x}{a_t}\right)^2 - s\frac{x}{t}}\, dF(x) \to 1$ as $t \to \infty$. As a consequence, we obtain:

$$\delta_{\alpha,t}(r,s) \underset{t\uparrow\infty}{\sim} 2\, e^{\frac{s^2}{4r}\left(\frac{a_t}{t}\right)^2} \int_{\frac{s}{2\sqrt{r}}\frac{a_t}{t}}^{\infty} y\, e^{-y^2}\, t\left\{1 - F\left(a_t\left(\frac{y}{\sqrt{r}} - \frac{s}{2r}\frac{a_t}{t}\right)\right)\right\} dy.$$

Now, we prove that $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{a_t}{t} = 0$. When $\alpha = 1$, we know that $\ell(x) = o(1)$ since $\mu_1 < \infty$. Consequently, $\frac{a_t}{t} \sim \ell(a_t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. When $\alpha \in (1,2)$, we have $\frac{a_t}{t} \sim a_t^{1-\alpha}\ell(a_t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$ since $1-\alpha \in (-1,0)$.

The uniform convergence theorem for regularly varying functions together with Potter's theorem and Lebesgue's theorem on dominated convergence thus leads to:

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \delta_{\alpha,t}(r,s) = 2\, r^{\alpha/2} \int_0^\infty y^{1-\alpha} e^{-y^2}\, dy = r^{\alpha/2}\Gamma(1-\alpha/2).$$

A similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 1 applied to the convergence of $Q_t\left(e^{-\frac{\delta_{\alpha,t}(r,s)}{t}}\right)$ yields:

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-r\frac{1}{a_t^2}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2 - s\frac{1}{t}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i}\right\} = \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-r^{\alpha/2}\Gamma(1-\alpha/2)\,\Lambda}\right\}, \quad r > 0,\ s \geq 0 \tag{15}$$

or equivalently:

$$\left(\frac{1}{a_t^2}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2,\ \frac{1}{t}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i\right)' \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (Y,Z)' \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

where the Laplace transform of the random vector $(Y,Z)'$ is the term on the right-hand side of (15).

The marginal distribution of Y, which is the limiting distribution of $\frac{1}{a_t^2}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2$, is determined by its Laplace transform:

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-rY}\right\} = \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-r^{\alpha/2}\Gamma(1-\alpha/2)\,\Lambda}\right\}, \quad r \geq 0$$

which is obtained by setting $s = 0$ in (15). Hence, it turns out that $Y \overset{\mathcal{D}}{=} U_\alpha$ where U_α has the Laplace transform (10).

Since $\mu_1 < \infty$ and $N(t) \xrightarrow{a.s.} \infty$ as $t \to \infty$, it follows by Lemma 1 that $\frac{1}{N(t)}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i \xrightarrow{p} \mu_1$ as $t \to \infty$. Moreover, we know by assumption that $\frac{N(t)}{t} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \Lambda$ as $t \to \infty$. Hence, Slutsky's theorem leads to:

$$\frac{1}{t}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i = \frac{N(t)}{t}\frac{1}{N(t)}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mu_1\Lambda \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

and consequently $Z \overset{\mathcal{D}}{=} \mu_1\Lambda$.

Therefore, we have:

$$\left(\frac{1}{a_t^2}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2,\ \frac{1}{t}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i\right)' \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (U_\alpha, \mu_1\Lambda)' \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

where the joint distribution function of $(U_\alpha, \mu_1\Lambda)'$ is given through (14).

Using the continuous mapping theorem, we thus deduce:

$$\left(\frac{t}{a_t}\right)^2 T_{N(t)} = \frac{\frac{1}{a_t^2}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2}{\left(\frac{1}{t}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i\right)^2} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\mu_1^2}\frac{U_\alpha}{\Lambda^2} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

and the proof is finished. □

Case 4: $\alpha = 2$, $\mu_2 = \infty$. We pass to the case $\alpha = 2$ and $\mu_2 = \infty$. As in the preceding theorem, two different results are derived according to the way in which $T_{N(t)}$ is normalized.

Theorem 4. *Assume that X_1 is of Pareto-type with index $\alpha = 2$ and that $\mu_2 = \infty$. Let $\{N(t); t \geq 0\}$ $\mathcal{D}$-average in time to the random variable Λ.*

(*a*) *Then:*

$$\left(\frac{N(t)}{a'_t}\right)^2 T_{N(t)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{2}{\mu_1^2}\,\Lambda \quad as \quad t \to \infty.$$

(*b*) *Then:*

$$\left(\frac{t}{a'_t}\right)^2 T_{N(t)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{2}{\mu_1^2}\,\frac{1}{\Lambda} \quad as \quad t \to \infty.$$

In (a) and (b), the sequence $(a'_t)_{t>0}$ is defined by $\lim_{t\to\infty} t\, a_t'^{-2}\tilde{\ell}(a'_t) = 1$ with $\tilde{\ell}(x) = \int_0^x \frac{\ell(u)}{u}\, du \in \mathrm{RV}_0$.

Proof. Let $1 - F(x) \underset{x\uparrow\infty}{\sim} x^{-2}\ell(x)$ for some $\ell \in \mathrm{RV}_0$ and $\mu_2 = \infty$. Define a sequence $(a'_t)_{t>0}$ by $\lim_{t\to\infty} t\, a_t'^{-2}\tilde{\ell}(a'_t) = 1$ with $\tilde{\ell}(x) = \int_0^x \frac{\ell(u)}{u}\, du \in \mathrm{RV}_0$.

(*a*) First of all, since $\mu_1 < \infty$ and $N(t) \xrightarrow{a.s.} \infty$ as $t \to \infty$, it follows by Lemma 1 that $\frac{1}{N(t)}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i \xrightarrow{p} \mu_1$ as $t \to \infty$.

From the independence of the X_i's, we get:

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-r\frac{1}{a_t'^2}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2}\right\} = Q_t\left(e^{-\frac{\delta_t(r)}{t}}\right)$$

with $\delta_t(r) := -t\,\log\int_0^\infty e^{-r\left(\frac{x}{a'_t}\right)^2} dF(x) \in [0, \infty)$.

Assume $r > 0$. By Lebesgue's theorem on dominated convergence, it is clear that $\int_0^\infty e^{-r\left(\frac{x}{a'_t}\right)^2} dF(x) \to 1$ as $t \to \infty$. As a consequence, we obtain:

$$\delta_t(r) \underset{t\uparrow\infty}{\sim} \frac{2tr}{a_t'^2}\int_0^\infty x\,(1 - F(x))\, e^{-r\left(\frac{x}{a'_t}\right)^2} dx = \frac{2tr}{a_t'^2}\int_0^\infty e^{-y}\int_0^{a'_t\sqrt{\frac{y}{r}}} x\,(1 - F(x))\, dx\, dy.$$

Since $\tilde{\ell}(x) = \int_0^x \frac{\ell(u)}{u}\, du \sim \int_0^x u\,(1 - F(u))\, du$ as $x \to \infty$, the uniform convergence theorem for slowly varying functions leads to:

$$\int_0^{a'_t\sqrt{\frac{y}{r}}} x\,(1 - F(x))\, dx \sim \tilde{\ell}\left(a'_t\sqrt{\frac{y}{r}}\right) \sim \tilde{\ell}\,(a'_t) \sim \frac{a_t'^2}{t} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty.$$

By Lebesgue's theorem on dominated convergence, we thus get $\lim_{t\to\infty}\delta_t(r) = 2r$.

A similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 1 applied to the convergence of $Q_t\left(e^{-\frac{\delta_t(r)}{t}}\right)$ yields:

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-r\frac{1}{a_t'^2}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2}\right\} = \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-2r\Lambda}\right\}, \quad r \geq 0$$

or equivalently:

$$\frac{1}{a_t'^2}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} 2\Lambda \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty.$$

Slutsky's theorem together with the continuous mapping theorem finally gives:

$$\left(\frac{N(t)}{a'_t}\right)^2 T_{N(t)} = \frac{\frac{1}{a'^2_t}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2}{\left(\frac{1}{N(t)}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i\right)^2} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{2}{\mu_1^2}\Lambda \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty.$$

(b) From the independence of the X_i's, we get:

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-r\frac{1}{a'^2_t}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2 - s\frac{1}{t}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i}\right\} = Q_t\left(e^{-\frac{\delta_{\alpha,t}(r,s)}{t}}\right)$$

with $\delta_{\alpha,t}(r,s) := -t\,\log\int_0^\infty e^{-r\left(\frac{x}{a'_t}\right)^2 - s\frac{x}{t}}\,dF(x) \in [0,\infty)$.

Assume $r > 0$ and $s \geq 0$. By virtue of Lebesgue's theorem on dominated convergence, it is clear that $\int_0^\infty e^{-r\left(\frac{x}{a'_t}\right)^2 - s\frac{x}{t}}\,dF(x) \to 1$ as $t \to \infty$. As a consequence, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{\alpha,t}(r,s) \underset{t\uparrow\infty}{\sim} & \; t\int_0^\infty (1-F(x))\,e^{-r\left(\frac{x}{a'_t}\right)^2 - s\frac{x}{t}}\left(\frac{2r}{a'^2_t}\,x + \frac{s}{t}\right)dx \\
= & \; \frac{2tr}{a'^2_t}\int_0^\infty x\,(1-F(x))\int_{r\left(\frac{x}{a'_t}\right)^2 + s\frac{x}{t}}^\infty e^{-y}\,dy\,dx + s\int_0^\infty (1-F(x))\int_{r\left(\frac{x}{a'_t}\right)^2 + s\frac{x}{t}}^\infty e^{-y}\,dy\,dx \\
= & \; \frac{2tr}{a'^2_t}\int_0^\infty e^{-y}\int_0^{y_*} x\,(1-F(x))\,dx\,dy + s\int_0^\infty e^{-y}\int_0^{y_*}(1-F(x))\,dx\,dy
\end{aligned}$$

where $y_* := a'_t\left(\sqrt{\frac{y}{r} + \left(\frac{s}{2r}\frac{a'_t}{t}\right)^2} - \frac{s}{2r}\frac{a'_t}{t}\right)$.

Since $\lim_{t\to\infty} t\,a'^{-2}_t\,\tilde{\ell}(a'_t) = 1$, we have $\frac{a'_t}{t} \sim \frac{\tilde{\ell}(a'_t)}{a'_t} \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. Consequently, we get $y_* \sim a'_t\sqrt{\frac{y}{r}}$ as $t \to \infty$. Since $\tilde{\ell}(x) = \int_0^x \frac{\ell(u)}{u}\,du \sim \int_0^x u\,(1-F(u))\,du$ as $x \to \infty$, it follows by the uniform convergence theorem for slowly varying functions that:

$$\int_0^{y_*} x\,(1-F(x))\,dx \sim \tilde{\ell}\,(y_*) \sim \tilde{\ell}\left(a'_t\sqrt{\frac{y}{r}}\right) \sim \tilde{\ell}\,(a'_t) \sim \frac{a'^2_t}{t} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty.$$

Moreover, since $\mu_1 < \infty$, Lebesgue's theorem on dominated convergence gives $\int_0^{y_*}(1-F(x))\,dx \to \mu_1$ as $t \to \infty$.

Consequently, another application of Lebesgue's theorem on dominated convergence leads to:

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\delta_{\alpha,t}(r,s) = 2r + \mu_1 s.$$

A similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 1 applied to the convergence of $Q_t\left(e^{-\frac{\delta_{\alpha,t}(r,s)}{t}}\right)$ yields:

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-r\frac{1}{a'^2_t}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2 - s\frac{1}{t}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i}\right\} = \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{-(2r+\mu_1 s)\,\Lambda}\right\}, \quad r > 0,\ s \geq 0$$

or equivalently:

$$\left(\frac{1}{a'^2_t}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2,\ \frac{1}{t}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i\right)' \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (2\Lambda, \mu_1\Lambda)' \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty.$$

Using the continuous mapping theorem, we thus deduce:

$$\left(\frac{t}{a'_t}\right)^2 T_{N(t)} = \frac{\frac{1}{a'^2_t}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2}{\left(\frac{1}{t}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i\right)^2} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{2}{\mu_1^2}\,\frac{1}{\Lambda} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

and this ends the proof. □

Case 5: $\alpha \in (2,4)$ or $\alpha = 2$, $\mu_2 < \infty$. The following theorem concerns the case $\alpha \in (2,4)$ (including $\alpha = 2$ if $\mu_2 < \infty$) where it is assumed that the counting process p-averages in time.

Theorem 5. *Assume that X_1 is of Pareto-type with index $\alpha \in (2,4)$ (including $\alpha = 2$ if $\mu_2 < \infty$). Let $\{N(t);\, t \geq 0\}$ p-average in time to the random variable Λ. Then:*

$$\frac{t^{1-2/\alpha}}{\ell^*(t)} \left(N(t)\, T_{N(t)} - \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1^2} \right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\mu_1^2} \frac{W_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{\Lambda^{1-2/\alpha}} \quad \textit{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

where $W_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ is a stable random variable with exponent $\alpha/2$ independent of Λ and $\ell^* \in \mathrm{RV}_0$ is given by $\ell^*(t) \sim c_t\, t^{-2/\alpha}$ as $t \to \infty$ with $(c_t)_{t>0}$ a sequence defined by $\lim_{t\to\infty} t\, c_t^{-\alpha/2} \ell(\sqrt{c_t}) = 1$.

Proof. Let $1 - F(x) \underset{x\uparrow\infty}{\sim} x^{-\alpha}\ell(x)$ for some $\ell \in \mathrm{RV}_0$ and $\alpha \in (2,4)$ or $\alpha = 2$ if $\mu_2 < \infty$. For a sequence $(b_t)_{t>0}$ to be defined, consider the following identity:

$$b_t \left(N(t)\, T_{N(t)} - \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1^2} \right) = \underbrace{b_t \left(\frac{N(t)}{X_1 + \cdots + X_{N(t)}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{N(t)} \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2 - \mu_2 \right)}_{=:A_t} + \underbrace{b_t\, \mu_2 \left(\frac{N(t)}{X_1 + \cdots + X_{N(t)}} \right)^2 - \frac{b_t\, \mu_2}{\mu_1^2}}_{=:B_t}.$$

Since $\mathbb{P}\left[X_1^2 > x\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[X_1 > \sqrt{x}\right] \underset{x\uparrow\infty}{\sim} x^{-\alpha/2}\ell\left(\sqrt{x}\right)$, the tail of X_1^2 is regularly varying with index $-\alpha/2$. Hence, we get $c_n^{-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - n\mu_2\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} W_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ as $n \to \infty$ for a stable random variable $W_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ with exponent $\alpha/2$ and a sequence of normalizing constants $(c_n)_{n\geq 1}$ defined by $1 - F(\sqrt{c_n}) \underset{n\uparrow\infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{n}$, i.e. $c_n \underset{n\uparrow\infty}{\sim} n^{2/\alpha}\ell^*(n)$ with $\ell^*(n) := \ell^{2/\alpha}\left(\sqrt{c_n}\right) \in \mathrm{RV}_0$. Since $N(t) \xrightarrow{a.s.} \infty$ as $t \to \infty$, it follows by Lemma 1 that:

$$\frac{N(t)^{1-2/\alpha}}{\ell^*(N(t))} \left(\frac{1}{N(t)} \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2 - \mu_2 \right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} W_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty. \tag{16}$$

Similarly, since $n^{-1/2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n\mu_1\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{N}(0,\sigma^2)$ as $n \to \infty$ where the random variable $\mathrm{N}(0,\sigma^2)$ has the normal distribution with mean 0 and variance $\sigma^2 := \mathbb{V}X_1 < \infty$, we also get:

$$\sqrt{N(t)} \left(\frac{1}{N(t)} \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i - \mu_1 \right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{N}(0,\sigma^2) \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty. \tag{17}$$

Define the sequence $(b_t)_{t>0}$ by $b_t := \frac{t^{1-2/\alpha}}{\ell^*(t)}$. This leads to:

$$A_t = \frac{\ell^*(N(t))}{\ell^*(t)} \left(\frac{t}{N(t)} \right)^{1-2/\alpha} \left(\frac{N(t)}{X_1 + \cdots + X_{N(t)}} \right)^2 \frac{N(t)^{1-2/\alpha}}{\ell^*(N(t))} \left(\frac{1}{N(t)} \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2 - \mu_2 \right).$$

Since $\ell^* \in \mathrm{RV}_0$ and $\frac{N(t)}{t} \xrightarrow{p} \Lambda$ as $t \to \infty$ with $\mathbb{P}[\Lambda > 0] = 1$, we get $\frac{\ell^*(N(t))}{\ell^*(t)} \xrightarrow{p} 1$ as $t \to \infty$ by using the uniform convergence theorem for slowly varying functions and the subsequence principle. Since $\mu_1 < \infty$ and $N(t) \xrightarrow{a.s.} \infty$ as $t \to \infty$, it follows by Lemma 1 that $\frac{1}{N(t)} \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i \xrightarrow{p} \mu_1$ as $t \to \infty$. Recalling (16), Slutsky's theorem together with the continuous mapping theorem therefore implies that:

$$A_t \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\mu_1^2} \frac{W_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{\Lambda^{1-2/\alpha}} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

thanks to the independence of $W_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ and Λ. To prove the latter claim, we condition on $N(t)$, use that $\frac{N(t)}{t}$ is $\sigma(N(t))$-measurable and that $\{N(t);\, t \geq 0\}$ and $\{X_i;\, i \geq 1\}$ are independent and apply (16) to get:

$$Y_t := \mathbb{P}\left[\frac{N(t)}{t} \leq x, \frac{N(t)^{1-2/\alpha}}{\ell^*(N(t))} \left(\frac{1}{N(t)} \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2 - \mu_2 \right) \leq y \,\middle|\, N(t) \right]$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}_{\left\{\frac{N(t)}{t}\le x\right\}} \, \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\frac{N(t)^{1-2/\alpha}}{\ell^*(N(t))}\left(\frac{1}{N(t)}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2-\mu_2\right)\le y\right\}} \middle| N(t) \right] \\
&= \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\frac{N(t)}{t}\le x\right\}} \, \mathbb{P}\left[\frac{N(t)^{1-2/\alpha}}{\ell^*(N(t))}\left(\frac{1}{N(t)}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2-\mu_2\right)\le y \middle| N(t) \right] \\
&= \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\frac{N(t)}{t}\le x\right\}} \, \mathbb{P}\left[\frac{N(t)^{1-2/\alpha}}{\ell^*(N(t))}\left(\frac{1}{N(t)}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2-\mu_2\right)\le y \right] \\
&\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathbb{1}_{\{\Lambda\le x\}} \, \mathbb{P}\left[W_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\le y\right] \quad \text{as} \quad t\to\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

at any continuity points x of the distribution function of Λ and y of that of $W_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$. The sequence of random variables $\{Y_t;\, t>0\}$ being uniformly integrable, we use Theorem 5.4 of Billingsley [3] to obtain:

$$
\mathbb{P}\left[\frac{N(t)}{t}\le x,\, \frac{N(t)^{1-2/\alpha}}{\ell^*(N(t))}\left(\frac{1}{N(t)}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2-\mu_2\right)\le y\right] \to \mathbb{P}\left[\Lambda\le x\right]\mathbb{P}\left[W_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\le y\right] \quad \text{as} \quad t\to\infty
$$

and this proves the claim.

At the same time, we also have:

$$
B_t = -\frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1}\left(\frac{N(t)}{X_1+\cdots+X_{N(t)}}\right)^2\sqrt{\frac{t}{N(t)}}\,\frac{t^{1/2-2/\alpha}}{\ell^*(t)}\sqrt{N(t)}\left(\frac{1}{N(t)}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)}X_i-\mu_1\right)\left(1+\frac{1}{\mu_1}\frac{1}{N(t)}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)}X_i\right).
$$

Thanks to (17) and since $\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{t^{1/2-2/\alpha}}{\ell^*(t)}=0$, Slutsky's theorem, the continuous mapping theorem and the independence of $\mathrm{N}(0,\sigma^2)$ and Λ yield $B_t \xrightarrow{p} 0$ as $t\to\infty$. Note that the independence of $\mathrm{N}(0,\sigma^2)$ and Λ is easily proved using the same kind of arguments as above for the independence of $W_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ and Λ.

Hence, we get by another application of Slutsky's theorem:

$$
\frac{t^{1-2/\alpha}}{\ell^*(t)}\left(N(t)\,T_{N(t)}-\frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1^2}\right)=A_t+B_t \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\mu_1^2}\frac{W_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{\Lambda^{1-2/\alpha}} \quad \text{as} \quad t\to\infty
$$

and the proof is complete. □

Case 6: $\mu_4<\infty$. Finally, the case $\mu_4<\infty$ is under consideration in the next result which hence holds in the cases $\alpha=4$ with finite fourth moment and $\alpha>4$.

Theorem 6. *Assume that X_1 is such that $\mu_4<\infty$. Let $\{N(t);\, t\ge 0\}$ $\mathcal{D}$-average in time to the random variable Λ. Then:*

$$
\sqrt{t}\left(N(t)\,T_{N(t)}-\frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1^2}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{\mathrm{N}(0,\sigma_*^2)}{\sqrt{\Lambda}} \quad \textit{as} \quad t\to\infty
$$

where the random variables $\mathrm{N}(0,\sigma_*^2)$ and Λ are independent, $\mathrm{N}(0,\sigma_*^2)$ having the normal distribution with mean 0 and variance σ_*^2 defined by:

$$
\sigma_*^2 := \frac{\mu_4}{\mu_1^4}-\left(\frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1^2}\right)^2+4\left(\frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1^2}\right)^3-\frac{4\mu_2\mu_3}{\mu_1^5}. \tag{18}
$$

Proof. Let the distribution function F of X_1 be such that $\mu_4<\infty$. From the bivariate Lindeberg-Lévy central limit theorem (e.g. Theorem 1.9.1B of Serfling [10]), one deduces that:

$$
\sqrt{n}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\boldsymbol{Y_n}-\boldsymbol{\mu}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{N}(\mathbf{0},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \quad \text{as} \quad n\to\infty
$$

where $\boldsymbol{Y_n} = \left(X_i, X_i^2\right)'$, $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \mu_2)'$ and $\mathrm{N}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ has the bivariate normal distribution with mean vector $\mathbf{0} = (0, 0)'$ and covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ defined by:

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} := \begin{pmatrix} \mu_2 - \mu_1^2 & \mu_3 - \mu_1\mu_2 \\ \mu_3 - \mu_1\mu_2 & \mu_4 - \mu_2^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Following the notation in Serfling [10], we write this as $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{Y_n}$ is $\mathrm{AN}\big(\boldsymbol{\mu}, n^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\big)$.

By the multivariate delta method, the asymptotic normality carries over to any function $g\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{Y_n}\right) = g\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i, \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2\right)$ where $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuously differentiable in a neighborhood of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$, so that $g\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{Y_n}\right)$ is $\mathrm{AN}\big(g(\boldsymbol{\mu}), n^{-1}\boldsymbol{J\Sigma J'}\big)$ with $\boldsymbol{J} = \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(\boldsymbol{\mu}), \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(\boldsymbol{\mu})\right)$.

With the choice $g(x, y) = \frac{y}{x^2}$, we find that $n\,T_n$ is $\mathrm{AN}\left(\frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1^2}, \frac{\sigma_*^2}{n}\right)$ with σ_*^2 given by (18). Since $N(t) \xrightarrow{a.s.} \infty$ as $t \to \infty$, it consequently follows by Lemma 1 that:

$$\sqrt{N(t)}\left(N(t)\,T_{N(t)} - \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1^2}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{N}(0, \sigma_*^2) \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

where the random variable $\mathrm{N}(0, \sigma_*^2)$ has the normal distribution with mean 0 and variance σ_*^2.

The continuous mapping theorem together with the independence of $\mathrm{N}(0, \sigma_*^2)$ and Λ (which is proved using the same arguments as for the independence of $W_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ and Λ in the proof of Theorem 5) finally gives:

$$\sqrt{t}\left(N(t)\,T_{N(t)} - \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1^2}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{t}{N(t)}}\,\sqrt{N(t)}\left(N(t)\,T_{N(t)} - \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1^2}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{\mathrm{N}(0, \sigma_*^2)}{\sqrt{\Lambda}} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty.$$

This completes the proof. □

We end by a remark. There is a slight incompleteness in the results for the case $\alpha = 4$. When $\mu_4 < \infty$, the result is given by Theorem 6. However when $\mu_4 = \infty$, the results depend on the behavior of the slowly varying function ℓ and the arguments get even more complicated, except for $\ell(x) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$ since Theorem 5 holds with a normally distributed random variable W_2. We hope to treat this remaining case in the future.

4 Applications to Risk Measures

Assume that X is a positive random variable with distribution function F and let $X_1, \ldots, X_{N(t)}$ be a random sample from F of random size $N(t)$ from a nonnegative integer-valued distribution. Thanks to the limiting results derived in Section 3 and the relations (4) and (7), we investigate the asymptotic behavior of two popular risk measures through their distributions. Subsection 4.1 deals with the sample coefficient of variation $\widehat{\mathrm{CoVar}(X)}$ defined in (3) and Subsection 4.2 concerns the sample dispersion $\widehat{\mathrm{D}(X)}$ defined in (5). The results are obtained under the same assumptions on X and on the counting process $\{N(t);\, t \geq 0\}$ as in Section 3.

4.1 Sample Coefficient of Variation

We determine limits in distribution for the appropriately normalized random variable $\widehat{\mathrm{CoVar}(X)}$ by using the distributional results derived in Section 3 for $T_{N(t)}$ and thanks to (4). Consequently, different cases arise depending on the range of α and on the (non)finiteness of the first few moments. We assume that X is of Pareto-type with index $\alpha > 0$ in Cases 1-5 and that X satisfies $\mu_4 < \infty$ in Case 6. Moreover, the counting process is supposed to $\mathcal{D}$-average in time to the random variable Λ except for Case 5 where it p-averages in time to Λ.

Case 1: $\alpha \in (0,1)$**.** Since $N(t) \xrightarrow{a.s.} \infty$ as $t \to \infty$, it follows from Theorem 1, Slutsky's theorem and the continuous mapping theorem that:

$$\frac{\widehat{\mathrm{CoVar}(X)}}{\sqrt{N(t)}} = \sqrt{T_{N(t)} - \frac{1}{N(t)}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{\sqrt{U_\alpha}}{V_\alpha} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

where the joint distribution of the random vector $(U_\alpha, V_\alpha)'$ is determined by (9).

Case 2: $\alpha = 1$**,** $\mu_1 = \infty$**.** Define $(a_t)_{t>0}$ by $\lim_{t\to\infty} t\, a_t^{-1} \ell(a_t) = 1$ and $(a'_t)_{t>0}$ by $\lim_{t\to\infty} t\, a_t'^{-1} \tilde{\ell}(a'_t) = 1$ with $\tilde{\ell}(x) = \int_0^x \frac{\ell(u)}{u}\, du \in \mathrm{RV}_0$. Since $\frac{a'_t}{a_t} \sim \frac{(1/\tilde{\ell})^*(t)}{(1/\ell)^*(t)}$ as $t \to \infty$, where $\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\ell}}\right)^*$ and $\left(\frac{1}{\ell}\right)^*$ respectively stand for the de Bruyn conjugate of $\frac{1}{\tilde{\ell}}$ and $\frac{1}{\ell}$, it follows that $\frac{a'_t}{a_t} \in \mathrm{RV}_0$ and consequently that $\frac{1}{t}\left(\frac{a'_t}{a_t}\right)^2 \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. Moreover, $\frac{N(t)}{t} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \Lambda$ as $t \to \infty$. Hence, Theorem 2 together with Slutsky's theorem and the continuous mapping theorem gives:

$$\frac{a'_t}{a_t}\,\frac{\widehat{\mathrm{CoVar}(X)}}{\sqrt{N(t)}} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{a'_t}{a_t}\right)^2 T_{N(t)} - \frac{1}{t}\left(\frac{a'_t}{a_t}\right)^2 \frac{t}{N(t)}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{\sqrt{U_1}}{\Lambda} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

where the joint distribution of the random vector $(U_1, \Lambda)'$ is determined by (12).

Case 3: $\alpha \in (1,2)$ **or** $\alpha = 1$**,** $\mu_1 < \infty$**.** Define $(a_t)_{t>0}$ by $\lim_{t\to\infty} t\, a_t^{-\alpha} \ell(a_t) = 1$. Since $\frac{t}{a_t^2} \sim \frac{a_t^{\alpha-2}}{\ell(a_t)} \to 0$ and $\frac{N(t)}{t} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \Lambda$ as $t \to \infty$, Theorem 3(a), Slutsky's theorem and the continuous mapping theorem lead to:

$$\frac{\sqrt{N(t)}}{a_t}\,\widehat{\mathrm{CoVar}(X)} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{N(t)}{a_t}\right)^2 T_{N(t)} - \frac{t}{a_t^2}\frac{N(t)}{t}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\mu_1}\sqrt{U_\alpha} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

where the distribution of U_α is determined by (10).

Repeating the same arguments as above but using Theorem 3(b) instead of Theorem 3(a), we also get:

$$\frac{t}{a_t}\,\frac{\widehat{\mathrm{CoVar}(X)}}{\sqrt{N(t)}} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{t}{a_t}\right)^2 T_{N(t)} - \frac{t}{a_t^2}\frac{t}{N(t)}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\mu_1}\,\frac{\sqrt{U_\alpha}}{\Lambda} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

where the joint distribution of the random vector $(U_\alpha, \mu_1\Lambda)'$ is determined by (14).

Case 4: $\alpha = 2$**,** $\mu_2 = \infty$**.** Define $(a'_t)_{t>0}$ by $\lim_{t\to\infty} t\, a_t'^{-2} \tilde{\ell}(a'_t) = 1$ with $\tilde{\ell}(x) = \int_0^x \frac{\ell(u)}{u}\, du \in \mathrm{RV}_0$. From $\mu_2 = \infty$, it follows that $\tilde{\ell}(x) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$ and consequently that $\frac{t}{a_t'^2} \sim \frac{1}{\tilde{\ell}(a'_t)} \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. Moreover, $\frac{N(t)}{t} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \Lambda$ as $t \to \infty$. Thus, Theorem 4(a), Slutsky's theorem and the continuous mapping theorem yield:

$$\frac{\sqrt{N(t)}}{a'_t}\,\widehat{\mathrm{CoVar}(X)} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{N(t)}{a'_t}\right)^2 T_{N(t)} - \frac{t}{a_t'^2}\frac{N(t)}{t}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\mu_1}\sqrt{\Lambda} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty.$$

By using Theorem 4(b) and the arguments above, we also get:

$$\frac{t}{a'_t}\,\frac{\widehat{\mathrm{CoVar}(X)}}{\sqrt{N(t)}} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{t}{a'_t}\right)^2 T_{N(t)} - \frac{t}{a_t'^2}\frac{t}{N(t)}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\mu_1}\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Lambda}} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty.$$

Case 5: $\alpha \in (2, 4)$ or $\alpha = 2$, $\mu_2 < \infty$. Assume that $\{N(t);\, t \geq 0\}$ p-averages in time to the random variable Λ. From Theorem 5, we deduce that $N(t)\, T_{N(t)} \xrightarrow{p} \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1^2}$ as $t \to \infty$. Using the continuous mapping theorem, we thus get:

$$\widehat{\mathrm{CoVar}(X)} \xrightarrow{p} \mathrm{CoVar}(X) \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty.$$

Moreover, define a sequence $(b_t)_{t>0}$ by $b_t := \frac{t^{1-2/\alpha}}{\ell^*(t)}$ where $\ell^*(t) := \ell^{2/\alpha}\left(\sqrt{c_t}\right) \in \mathrm{RV}_0$ with $(c_t)_{t>0}$ a sequence defined by $\lim_{t\to\infty} t\, c_t^{-\alpha/2} \ell(\sqrt{c_t}) = 1$. Denote $\sigma^2 := \mathbb{V}X < \infty$ and consider:

$$b_t \left(\widehat{\mathrm{CoVar}(X)} - \mathrm{CoVar}(X)\right) = \underbrace{\frac{\mu_1 b_t \left(N(t)\, T_{N(t)} - \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1^2}\right)}{2\sigma}}_{=:A_t} - \underbrace{\frac{\mu_1 b_t \left(N(t)\, T_{N(t)} - \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1^2}\right)^2}{2\sigma \left(\widehat{\mathrm{CoVar}(X)} + \mathrm{CoVar}(X)\right)^2}}_{=:B_t}.$$

From Theorem 5, we easily deduce by using Slutsky's theorem that $A_t \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{2\mu_1\sigma} \frac{W_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{\Lambda^{1-2/\alpha}}$ and that $B_t \xrightarrow{p} 0$ as $t \to \infty$ leading by virtue of another application of Slutsky's theorem to:

$$\frac{t^{1-2/\alpha}}{\ell^*(t)} \left(\widehat{\mathrm{CoVar}(X)} - \mathrm{CoVar}(X)\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{2\mu_1\sigma} \frac{W_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{\Lambda^{1-2/\alpha}} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

where $W_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ is a stable random variable with exponent $\alpha/2$ independent of Λ.

Case 6: $\mu_4 < \infty$. The proof of Theorem 6 can be repeated using the transformation $g(x, y) = \sqrt{\frac{y}{x^2} - 1}$ and this leads to:

$$\sqrt{t}\left(\widehat{\mathrm{CoVar}(X)} - \mathrm{CoVar}(X)\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{\mathrm{N}\left(0, \frac{\sigma_*^2 \mu_1^2}{4\sigma^2}\right)}{\sqrt{\Lambda}} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty \tag{19}$$

where the random variable $\mathrm{N}\left(0, \frac{\sigma_*^2 \mu_1^2}{4\sigma^2}\right)$ is independent of Λ and has the normal distribution with mean 0 and variance $\frac{\sigma_*^2 \mu_1^2}{4\sigma^2}$, with σ_*^2 defined by (18) and $\sigma^2 := \mathbb{V}X < \infty$.

Assume $\mathbb{E}\left\{\Lambda^{-1}\right\} < \infty$. When $t\left(\widehat{\mathrm{CoVar}(X)} - \mathrm{CoVar}(X)\right)^2$ is uniformly integrable, the first two moments of the limiting distribution in (19) permit to determine the limiting behavior of $\mathrm{CoVar}\left(\widehat{\mathrm{CoVar}(X)}\right)$. Indeed, on the one hand:

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\sqrt{t}\left(\widehat{\mathrm{CoVar}(X)} - \mathrm{CoVar}(X)\right)\right\} = \sqrt{t}\left(\mathbb{E}\left\{\widehat{\mathrm{CoVar}(X)}\right\} - \mathrm{CoVar}(X)\right) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

which leads to:

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\widehat{\mathrm{CoVar}(X)}\right\} \to \mathrm{CoVar}(X) \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty. \tag{20}$$

One the other hand, we also get:

$$\mathbb{V}\left\{\sqrt{t}\left(\widehat{\mathrm{CoVar}(X)} - \mathrm{CoVar}(X)\right)\right\} = t\,\mathbb{V}\left\{\widehat{\mathrm{CoVar}(X)}\right\} \sim \frac{\sigma_*^2 \mu_1^2\, \mathbb{E}\left\{\Lambda^{-1}\right\}}{4\sigma^2} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

implying that:

$$\mathbb{V}\left\{\widehat{\mathrm{CoVar}(X)}\right\} \sim \frac{\sigma_*^2 \mu_1^2\, \mathbb{E}\left\{\Lambda^{-1}\right\}}{4\sigma^2} \frac{1}{t} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty.$$

Consequently, under the above uniform integrability condition, the coefficient of variation of the sample coefficient of variation asymptotically behaves as:

$$\mathrm{CoVar}\left(\widehat{\mathrm{CoVar}(X)}\right) \sim \frac{\sigma_* \mu_1^2 \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left\{\Lambda^{-1}\right\}}}{2\sigma^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty.$$

In addition, it results from (19) and (20) that $\widehat{\mathrm{CoVar}(X)}$ is a consistent and asymptotically unbiased estimator for $\mathrm{CoVar}(X)$.

4.2 Sample Dispersion

Adapting the results of Section 3 to the random variable $C_{N(t)}$ defined in (6) permits us to derive limiting distributions for the appropriately normalized sample of dispersion $\widehat{\mathrm{D}(X)}$ thanks to (7). Different cases are considered as for the sample coefficient of variation. We assume that X is of Pareto-type with index $\alpha > 0$ in Cases 1-5 and that X satisfies $\mu_4 < \infty$ in Case 6. Moreover, the counting process is supposed to $\mathcal{D}$-average in time to the random variable Λ except for Case 5 where it p-averages in time to Λ.

Case 1: $\alpha \in (0,1)$**.** Define $(a_t)_{t>0}$ by $\lim_{t\to\infty} t\, a_t^{-\alpha} \ell(a_t) = 1$. It follows from Theorem 1 and the continuous mapping theorem that:

$$\frac{1}{a_t} C_{N(t)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{U_\alpha}{V_\alpha} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

where the joint distribution of the random vector $(U_\alpha, V_\alpha)'$ is determined by (9).

Since $N(t) \xrightarrow{a.s.} \infty$ and $\frac{1}{a_t} \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} V_\alpha$ as $t \to \infty$, where the distribution of V_α is determined by (11), Slutsky's theorem together with the continuous mapping theorem yields:

$$\frac{1}{a_t} \widehat{\mathrm{D}(X)} = \frac{1}{a_t} C_{N(t)} - \frac{1}{N(t)} \frac{1}{a_t} \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{U_\alpha}{V_\alpha} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty.$$

Case 2: $\alpha = 1$**,** $\mu_1 = \infty$**.** Define $(a_t)_{t>0}$ by $\lim_{t\to\infty} t\, a_t^{-1} \ell(a_t) = 1$ and $(a'_t)_{t>0}$ by $\lim_{t\to\infty} t\, a_t'^{-1} \tilde{\ell}(a'_t) = 1$ with $\tilde{\ell}(x) = \int_0^x \frac{\ell(u)}{u}\, du \in \mathrm{RV}_0$. It follows from Theorem 2 and the continuous mapping theorem that:

$$\frac{a'_t}{a_t^2} C_{N(t)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{U_1}{\Lambda} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

where the joint distribution of the random vector $(U_1, \Lambda)'$ is determined by (12).

Since $\frac{a'_t}{a_t} \sim \frac{(1/\tilde{\ell})^*(t)}{(1/\ell)^*(t)}$ as $t \to \infty$, where $\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\ell}}\right)^*$ and $\left(\frac{1}{\ell}\right)^*$ respectively stand for the de Bruyn conjugate of $\frac{1}{\tilde{\ell}}$ and $\frac{1}{\ell}$, it follows that $\frac{a'_t}{a_t} \in \mathrm{RV}_0$ and consequently that $\frac{1}{t}\left(\frac{a'_t}{a_t}\right)^2 \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. Moreover, using the same independence and conditioning arguments as in the proof of Theorem 5, we obtain that at any continuity points x and y of the distribution function of Λ:

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\frac{N(t)}{t} \leq x, \frac{1}{a'_t} \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i \leq y\right] \to \mathbb{P}\left[\Lambda \leq x\right] \mathbb{P}\left[\Lambda \leq y\right] \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

i.e., since $\frac{N(t)}{t} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \Lambda$ and $\frac{1}{a'_t} \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \Lambda$ as $t \to \infty$, that:

$$\left(\frac{N(t)}{t}, \frac{1}{a'_t} \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i\right)' \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (\Lambda, \Lambda^*)' \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

where Λ^* is an independent copy of Λ.

Using the continuous mapping theorem, we thus deduce:

$$\frac{t}{N(t)} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i}{a'_t} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{\Lambda^*}{\Lambda} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty.$$

Hence, Slutsky's theorem gives:

$$\frac{a'_t}{a_t^2} \widehat{\mathrm{D}(X)} = \frac{a'_t}{a_t^2} C_{N(t)} - \frac{1}{t}\left(\frac{a'_t}{a_t}\right)^2 \frac{t}{N(t)} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i}{a'_t} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{U_1}{\Lambda} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty.$$

Case 3: $\alpha \in (1,2)$ **or** $\alpha = 1$**,** $\mu_1 < \infty$**.** Define $(a_t)_{t>0}$ by $\lim_{t\to\infty} t\, a_t^{-\alpha}\ell(a_t) = 1$. It follows from Theorem 3(a) and the continuous mapping theorem that:

$$\frac{N(t)}{a_t^2}\, C_{N(t)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\mu_1} U_\alpha \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

where the distribution of U_α is determined by (10).

Since $\frac{t}{a_t^2} \sim \frac{a_t^{\alpha-2}}{\ell(a_t)} \to 0$, $\frac{N(t)}{t} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \Lambda$ and $\overline{X} \xrightarrow{p} \mu_1$ as $t \to \infty$, Slutsky's theorem leads to:

$$\frac{N(t)}{a_t^2}\, \widehat{\mathrm{D}(X)} = \frac{N(t)}{a_t^2}\, C_{N(t)} - \frac{t}{a_t^2}\frac{N(t)}{t}\, \overline{X} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\mu_1} U_\alpha \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty.$$

Repeating the same arguments as above but using Theorem 3(b) instead of Theorem 3(a), we also get:

$$\frac{t}{a_t^2}\, \widehat{\mathrm{D}(X)} = \frac{t}{a_t^2}\, C_{N(t)} - \frac{t}{a_t^2}\, \overline{X} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\mu_1}\frac{U_\alpha}{\Lambda} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

where the joint distribution of the random vector $(U_\alpha, \mu_1\Lambda)'$ is determined by (14).

Case 4: $\alpha = 2$**,** $\mu_2 = \infty$**.** Define $(a'_t)_{t>0}$ by $\lim_{t\to\infty} t\, a_t'^{-2}\tilde{\ell}(a'_t) = 1$ with $\tilde{\ell}(x) = \int_0^x \frac{\ell(u)}{u}\, du \in \mathrm{RV}_0$. It follows from Theorem 4(a) and the continuous mapping theorem that:

$$\frac{N(t)}{a_t'^2}\, C_{N(t)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{2}{\mu_1}\Lambda \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty.$$

From $\mu_2 = \infty$, it follows that $\tilde{\ell}(x) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$ and consequently that $\frac{t}{a_t'^2} \sim \frac{1}{\tilde{\ell}(a'_t)} \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. Moreover, since $\frac{N(t)}{t} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \Lambda$ and $\overline{X} \xrightarrow{p} \mu_1$ as $t \to \infty$, Slutsky's theorem yields:

$$\frac{N(t)}{a_t'^2}\, \widehat{\mathrm{D}(X)} = \frac{N(t)}{a_t'^2}\, C_{N(t)} - \frac{t}{a_t'^2}\frac{N(t)}{t}\, \overline{X} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{2}{\mu_1}\Lambda \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty.$$

By using Theorem 4(b) and the arguments above, we also get:

$$\frac{t}{a_t'^2}\, \widehat{\mathrm{D}(X)} = \frac{t}{a_t'^2}\, C_{N(t)} - \frac{t}{a_t'^2}\, \overline{X} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{2}{\mu_1} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty.$$

Case 5: $\alpha \in (2,4)$ **or** $\alpha = 2$**,** $\mu_2 < \infty$**.** Assume that $\{N(t);\, t \geq 0\}$ p-averages in time to the random variable Λ. Define a sequence $(b_t)_{t>0}$ by $b_t := \frac{t^{1-2/\alpha}}{\ell^*(t)}$ where $\ell^*(t) := \ell^{2/\alpha}\left(\sqrt{c_t}\right) \in \mathrm{RV}_0$ with $(c_t)_{t>0}$ a sequence defined by $\lim_{t\to\infty} t\, c_t^{-\alpha/2}\ell(\sqrt{c_t}) = 1$ and consider:

$$\begin{aligned} b_t\left(\widehat{\mathrm{D}(X)} - \mathrm{D}(X)\right) &= b_t\left(C_{N(t)} - \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1} - \overline{X} + \mu_1\right) \\ &= \underbrace{\frac{b_t}{\overline{X}}\left(\frac{1}{N(t)}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2 - \mu_2\right)}_{=:A_t} \underbrace{- b_t\left(\overline{X} - \mu_1\right)\left(1 + \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1}\frac{1}{\overline{X}}\right)}_{=:B_t}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\overline{X} \xrightarrow{p} \mu_1$, $\frac{N(t)}{t} \xrightarrow{p} \Lambda$ and $\frac{\ell^*(N(t))}{\ell^*(t)} \xrightarrow{p} 1$ as $t \to \infty$, Slutsky's theorem and the continuous mapping theorem give:

$$A_t = \frac{1}{\overline{X}}\left(\frac{t}{N(t)}\right)^{1-2/\alpha} \frac{\ell^*(N(t))}{\ell^*(t)} \frac{N(t)^{1-2/\alpha}}{\ell^*(N(t))}\left(\frac{1}{N(t)}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i^2 - \mu_2\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\mu_1}\frac{W_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{\Lambda^{1-2/\alpha}} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

thanks to (16) and the independence of Λ and $W_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ which is a stable random variable with exponent $\alpha/2$.

Incidentally, using (17) yields:

$$\sqrt{t}\left(\frac{1}{N(t)}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i - \mu_1\right) = \sqrt{\frac{t}{N(t)}}\sqrt{N(t)}\left(\frac{1}{N(t)}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i - \mu_1\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{\mathrm{N}(0,\sigma^2)}{\sqrt{\Lambda}} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

since the random variable $\mathrm{N}(0,\sigma^2)$ which has the normal distribution with mean 0 and variance $\sigma^2 := \mathbb{V}X < \infty$ is independent of Λ. Consequently, by considering the equality:

$$b_t\left(\overline{X} - \mu_1\right) = \frac{t^{1/2-2/\alpha}}{\ell^*(t)}\sqrt{t}\left(\frac{1}{N(t)}\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i - \mu_1\right)$$

and since $\frac{t^{1/2-2/\alpha}}{\ell^*(t)} \to 0$ and $\overline{X} \xrightarrow{p} \mu_1$ as $t \to \infty$, Slutsky's theorem together with the continuous mapping theorem implies that $B_t \xrightarrow{p} 0$ as $t \to \infty$. By virtue of another application of Slutsky's theorem, we hence obtain:

$$\frac{t^{1-2/\alpha}}{\ell^*(t)}\left(\widehat{\mathrm{D}(X)} - \mathrm{D}(X)\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\mu_1}\frac{W_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{\Lambda^{1-2/\alpha}} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty.$$

The latter relation shows in particular that:

$$\widehat{\mathrm{D}(X)} \xrightarrow{p} \mathrm{D}(X) \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty.$$

Case 6: $\mu_4 < \infty$. Using $g(x,y) = \frac{y}{x} - x$ in the proof of Theorem 6 yields:

$$\sqrt{t}\left(\widehat{\mathrm{D}(X)} - \mathrm{D}(X)\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{\mathrm{N}\left(0,\sigma_{**}^2\right)}{\sqrt{\Lambda}} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty \tag{21}$$

where the random variable $\mathrm{N}\left(0,\sigma_{**}^2\right)$ is independent of Λ and has the normal distribution with mean 0 and variance σ_{**}^2 defined by:

$$\sigma_{**}^2 := \mu_2 - \mu_1^2 + \frac{\mu_2^3}{\mu_1^4} - 2\frac{\mu_3}{\mu_1} - 2\frac{\mu_2\mu_3}{\mu_1^3} + 2\left(\frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1}\right)^2 + \frac{\mu_4}{\mu_1^2}.$$

Assume $\mathbb{E}\left\{\Lambda^{-1}\right\} < \infty$. When $t\left(\widehat{\mathrm{D}(X)} - \mathrm{D}(X)\right)^2$ is uniformly integrable, the first two moments of the limiting distribution in (21) permit to determine the limiting behavior of $\mathrm{D}\left(\widehat{\mathrm{D}(X)}\right)$. Indeed, on the one hand:

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\sqrt{t}\left(\widehat{\mathrm{D}(X)} - \mathrm{D}(X)\right)\right\} = \sqrt{t}\left(\mathbb{E}\left\{\widehat{\mathrm{D}(X)}\right\} - \mathrm{D}(X)\right) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

leading to:

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\widehat{\mathrm{D}(X)}\right\} \to \mathrm{D}(X) \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty. \tag{22}$$

Note that (21) together with (22) implies that $\widehat{\mathrm{D}(X)}$ is a consistent and asymptotically unbiased estimator for $\mathrm{D}(X)$.

On the other hand, we also get:

$$\mathbb{V}\left\{\sqrt{t}\left(\widehat{\mathrm{D}(X)} - \mathrm{D}(X)\right)\right\} = t\,\mathbb{V}\left\{\widehat{\mathrm{D}(X)}\right\} \sim \sigma_{**}^2\,\mathbb{E}\left\{\Lambda^{-1}\right\} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

which implies:

$$\mathbb{V}\left\{\widehat{\mathrm{D}(X)}\right\} \sim \sigma_{**}^2\,\mathbb{E}\left\{\Lambda^{-1}\right\}\frac{1}{t} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty.$$

Consequently, under the above uniform integrability condition, the dispersion of the sample dispersion asymptotically behaves as:

$$\mathrm{D}\left(\widehat{\mathrm{D}(X)}\right) \sim \frac{\sigma_{**}^2\,\mu_1\,\mathbb{E}\left\{\Lambda^{-1}\right\}}{\sigma^2}\frac{1}{t} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty$$

where $\sigma^2 := \mathbb{V}X < \infty$.

5 Conclusion

We have derived limits in distribution for the random variable $T_{N(t)}$ defined in (1) when the distribution function F of X_1 is of Pareto-type with index $\alpha > 0$ or is such that $\mu_4 < \infty$. Furthermore, the counting process $\{N(t);\, t \geq 0\}$ has been chosen to $\mathcal{D}$-average in time or, in a single case, to p-average in time. Different results have shown up according to the range of α and to the (non)finiteness of the first moments. These results have then been used to analyze limiting properties of two risk measures that are used in many applications, namely the sample coefficient of variation and the sample dispersion. However, the practical applicability of these risk measures depends on the existence of sufficiently many moments of the underlying distribution. Hence, the results we have obtained help at illustrating their asymptotic behavior when such a moment condition is not satisfied.

We point out that by choosing the limiting random variable Λ to be degenerate at the point 1 in our results, we retrieve results of Albrecher and Teugels [1] where the counting process is assumed to be deterministic.

References

[1] H. Albrecher and J.L. Teugels. Asymptotic analysis of measures of variation. Technical Report 2004-042, EURANDOM, Technical University of Eindhoven, The Netherlands, 2004.

[2] J. Beirlant, Y. Goegebeur, J. Segers, and J.L. Teugels. *Statistics of Extremes: Theory and Applications.* John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 2004.

[3] P. Billingsley. *Convergence of Probability Measures.* John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1968.

[4] N.H. Bingham, C.M. Goldie, and J.L. Teugels. *Regular Variation.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.

[5] K.L. Chung. *A Course in Probability Theory.* Third edition. Academic Press, San Diego, 2001.

[6] W. Feller. *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications. Vol. II.* Second edition. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1971.

[7] J. Grandell. *Mixed Poisson Processes.* Monographs on Statistics and Applied Probability 77. Chapman & Hall, London, 1997.

[8] S.A. Ladoucette. Asymptotic results on the moments of the ratio of the random sum of squares to the square of the random sum. Technical Report 2005-03, University Center for Statistics, Department of Mathematics, Catholic University of Leuven, Belgium, 2005.

[9] T. Mack. Schadenversicherungsmathematik. *Verlag Versicherungswirtschaft e.V., Karlsruhe*, 1997.

[10] R.J. Serfling. *Approximation Theorems of Mathematical Statistics.* John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1980.